

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 and 21-22 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 6 and 11 are amended. No new matter is added. Reconsideration in view of the application is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amendments is proper under 37 CFR §1.116 since the amendments: (a) place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein; (b) do not raise any new issue requiring further search and/or consideration as the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution; and (c) place the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. The amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented because they are made in response to arguments raised in the final rejection. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-18, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Omniform User's Manual (Omniform) in view of Special Edition Using Access 97 (Access). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 is amended for clarity and recites, *inter alia*, data input form generation means for generating a database-related data input form related with the defined database by setting field information corresponding to the database, which is defined based on the portion of the data included in the extracted table by the database defining means, as an attribute of a cell of the table included in the generated database-related data input form. This feature is described in the specification at, for example, page 9, line 8-page 10, line 2.

Omniform and Access, solely or in combination, do not explicitly teach or suggest that the field information for the database is set as an attribute of a cell of the table included in the generated database-related data input form. Therefore, Ominform and Access do not teach or suggest the features of claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1 is patentable over Omniform and Access.

Independent claims 6 and 11 each recite generating a database-related data input form related with the defined database by setting field information corresponding to the database, which is defined based on the portion of the data included in the extracted table by the database defining means, as an attribute of a cell of the table included in the generated database-related data input form. Similar to claim 1, neither Ominform nor Access explicitly teach this feature. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 6 and 11 are patentable over Omniform and Access.

Dependent claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-18, 21 and 22 are allowable at least for their dependence on allowable base claims, as well as for the additional features they recite.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-18, 21 and 22 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Stephen P. Catlin
Registration No. 36,101

JAO:KXH/tls

Date: May 25, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--